CONCUSSION UPDATE: EVALUATION, RETURN TO LEARN AND RETURN TO PLAY CONSIDERATIONS

JENNIFER VOLBERDING PHD, LAT, ATC

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

DEPARTMENT CHAIR ATHLETIC TRAINING

ATHLETIC TRAINING PROGRAM DIRECTOR

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR HEALTH SCIENCES

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

NO DISCLOSURES



LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- IDENTIFY METHODS TO DETERMINE THE CAUSE OF DIZZINESS AND NAUSEA IN POST-CONCUSSIVE PATIENTS
- EVALUATE THE APPLICATION OF THE VOMS AND KING-DEVICK TESTS WHEN EVALUATING CONCUSSIONS
- DETERMINE THE BEST TREATMENT PLAN FOR PATIENTS TO RETURN TO FULL ACTIVITY POST-CONCUSSION

Trauma-induced alteration in mental status that may or may not involve a loss of consciousness

CONCUSSION



Fuzziness

Cobwebs

Getting

bell rung



COGNITIVE

Repeats Questions

Feeling Mentally Foggy Feeling Mentally Slowed Down Difficulty Concentrating Forgetful of Recent Information Difficulty Remembering Confused About Recent Events **Answers Qeustions Slowly**

PHYSICAL

Headache Nausea/Vomiting **Balance** Problems Numbness/Tingling Sensitivity to Light/Noise **Visual Problems** Dizziness Dazed or Stunned

Irritability Sadness More Emotional Nervousness

EMOTIONAL

Drowsiness Sleeping Less Than Usual Sleeping More Than Usual Trouble Falling Asleep

RISK FACTORS FOR CONCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS ON RECOVERY¹



RISK FACTORS FOR CONCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS ON RECOVERY¹

Temporal

- Frequency: repeated concussions over time
- Time: close together
- Recency: recent concussion or TBI

Threshold

• Repeated concussions occurring with progressively less impact, force, or slower recovery after each successive event

Age

• Child or adolescent

RISK FACTORS FOR CONCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS ON RECOVERY¹

Comorbidities and pre-morbidities

• Migraine, depression, or other mental health disorders, ADD or ADHD, learning disabilities, sleep disorders

Medication

• Psychoactive drugs, anticoagulants

Behavior

• Dangerous style of play

Sport

• High risk activity, contact or collision sport, high sporting level

CONCUSSION EVALUATION MULTI-FACETED APPROACH¹

- SYMPTOMS
- CLINICAL EVALUATION
- VISION
- BALANCE/MOTOR CONTROL
- COGNITIVE

SELF-REPORTED SYMPTOM ASSESSMENT

- SYMPTOM CHECKLIST
- SCALED (SUMMED OR GRADED THAT ASSESS SEVERITY OR DURATION)
- BE AWARE OF DEHYDRATION, FATIGUE, AND OTHER FACTORS
- RECOGNIZE THAT UNDERREPORTING IS MOST LIKELY TO OCCUR

Concussion Symptom Inventory (CSI) Randolph, Millis, Barr, McCrea, Guskiewicz, & Kelly (2008)									
Player Name:									
Date of Injury: Date of Exam:									
	<i>absent</i> 0	mil 1	d 2	mod 3	erate 4	se 5	evere 6	S	core
Headache									
Nausea									
Balance Problems/Dizziness									
Fatigue									
Drowsiness									
Feeling like "in a fog"									
Difficulty concentrating									
Difficulty remembering									
Sensitivity to light									
Sensitivity to noise									
Blurred vision									
Feeling slowed down									
TOTAL:									
Other symptoms evident since injury?:					\circ				

CONCUSSION SYMPTOM INVENTORY (CSI)²

Graded Symptom Checklist (GSC)

Symptom	Time of	2-3 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours	72 Hours
	injury	postinjury	postinjury	postinjury	postinjury
Blurred vision			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Dizziness					
Drowsiness		<u> </u>			
Excess sleep					
Easily distracted					
Fatigue					
Feel "in a fog"					
Feel "slowed down"					
Headache					
Inappropriate emotions					
Irritability					
Loss of consciousness					
Loss or orientation					
Memory problems					
Nausca					
Nervousness					
Personality change					
Poor balance/ coordination					
Poor concentration					
Ringing in ears					
Sadness					
Seeing stars					
Sensitivity to light					
Sensitivity to noise					
Sleep disturbance					
Vacant stare/glassy eyed					
Vomiting					

NOTE: The GSC should be used not only for the initial evaluation but for each subsequent follow-up assessment until all signs and symptoms have cleared at rest and during physical exertion. In lieu of simply checking each symptom present, the ATC can ask the athlete to grade or score the severity of the mptom on a scale of 0-6, where 0-not present, 1-mild, 3-moderate, and 6-most severe.

GRADED SYMPTOMS CHECKLIST (GSC)³

CLINICAL EVALUATION

- RULE OUT CERVICAL SPINE IMPLICATIONS
 - STRESS TESTING
 - SHARP-PURSER
 - ALAR LIGAMENT
 - VERTEBROBASILAR INSUFFICIENCY
 - JOINT POSITION ERROR (JPE) TEST
 - ROM
 - PALPATION

- VESTIBULAR/OCULAR EVALUATION
 - HEAD THRUST
 - DIX-HALPIKE
 - VOMS
 - BALANCE ASSESSMENT



Figure 3. The Sharp-Purser test for abnormal clinical laxity of the atlantoaxial complex. The patient is seated, with the neck voluntarily slightly flexed. Pressure is applied to the forehead, forcing the occiput to glide into extension over the index finger at C2.

SHARP PURSER TEST⁴

ASSESS ATLANTOAXIAL

INSTABILITY

DIX-HALPIKE⁵





0

Dix Halpike test

VBI⁶

- VERTEBRAL ARTERY INSUFFICIENCY
 SCREENING
 - DECREASED BLOOD FLOW OF THE
 INTERCRANIAL VERTEBRAL ARTERY OF THE
 CONTRALATERAL SIDE
 - CAUSES ISCHEMIA AND REPRODUCES DIZZINESS, NAUSEA, SYNCOPE, DYSARTHRIA, DYSPHAGIA, AND DISTURBANCE OF THE HEARING OR VISION



FIGURE 1. Vertebrobasilar insufficiency screening procedure: end range cervical spine rotation with the patient supine.



FIGURE 2. Vertebrobasilar insufficiency screening procedure: end range cervical spine extension with the patient supine.



JPE⁷

- LASER AND TARGET
- JOINT REPOSITIONING
 - EYES CLOSED
- CONSISTENCY IN EACH DIRECTION
- 4.5° STANDARD ERROR





VESTIBULAR/OCULAR MOTOR SCREEN (VOMS)⁸

- 5 COMPONENTS
 - SMOOTH PURSUITS
 - HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL SACCADES
 - NEAR POINT CONVERGENCE (NPC) DISTANCE
 - HORIZONTAL VESTIBULAR OCULAR REFLEX (VOR)
 - VISUAL MOTION SENSITIVITY (VMS)
- MEASURE SYMPTOMS BEFORE AND AFTER EACH TEST
- DOES NOT REQUIRE A BASELINE AS COMPARISON
- HIGH INTERNAL CONSISTENCY ALPHA = 0.92
- VOR AND VMS MOST PREDICTIVE
- ANY SCORE GREATER THAN 2 INCREASES PROBABILITY OF CORRECTLY DIAGNOSING CONCUSSION
- HTTPS://WWW.YOUTUBE.COM/WATCH?V=XLA_WJAMBMG

Vestibular/Ocular Motor Test:	Net Terted	Headache 0-10	Dissivers 0-50	Nausoa 0-10	Fogginess 6-50	Commenta
BASELINE SYMPTOMS:	N/M					
Smooth Pursuits						
Saccades - Horizontal						
Saccades - Vertical						
Convergence (Near Point)						(Near Point in cm): Measure 1: Measure 2: Measure 3:
VOR - Horizontal						
VOR - Vertical						
Visual Motion Sensitivity Test						

Vestibular/Ocular-Motor Screening (VOMS) for Concussion

SMOOTH PURSUITS

- SLOW MOVING TARGET
- 3 FT FROM PATIENT
- PATIENT MOVES THEIR EYES NOT
 THEIR HEAD
- 1.5 FT TO THE RIGHT/LEFT AND UP/DOWN
- 2 REPETITIONS OF EACH



SACCADIC MOVEMENT

- QUICK EYE MOVEMENTS BETWEEN
 TARGETS
- 3 FT FROM PATIENT
- 1.5 FT TO THE RIGHT/LEFT AND UP/DOWN
- 10 REPETITIONS





- 14 POINT FONT
- WEAR ANY LENS CORRECTION THEY HAVE
- MEASURE DISTANCE IN CM FROM OBJECT TO NOSE WHEN DOUBLE VISION IS REPORTED



OCULAR REFLEXT

- 14 POINT FONT
- 3 FT AWAY FROM PATIENT
- 20 DEGREES TO RIGHT/LEFT AND UP/DOWN
- 180 BEATS/MIN
- 10 REPETITIONS









VISUAL MOTION SENSITIVITY

- STAND WITH ONE THUMB AT
 ARMS LENGTH
- ROTATE 160 DEGREES
- 50 BEATS/MIN
- 5 REPETITIONS

KING-DEVICK TEST^{9,10}

- EXCELLENT FOR SIDELINE EVALUATION OF SACCADES
 - SENSITIVITY =86%
 - SPECIFICITY = 90%
- PATIENT READS THE NUMBERS ON EACH CARD FROM LEFT TO RIGHT AS QUICK AS POSSIBLE
- SUM OF TIMES FROM EACH CARD IS SCORE
- RECORD ERRORS
- COMPARED TO BASELINE
 - AVERAGE TIME IS 43.8 SECONDS
 - COLLEGE ATHLETES UNDER 1 MIN
 - YOUNG ADOLESCENTS LESS THAN 2 MIN
- WORSENING OF SCORE FROM BASELINE 5 TIMES GREATER LIKELIHOOD OF CONCUSSION
- WHEN COMPARED TO OTHER COMMONLY UTILIZED CONCUSSION EVALUATION TECHNIQUES IT DEMONSTRATES GREATEST CAPACITY FOR DIAGNOSIS



TESTING MOTOR CONTROL

- GAIT, POSTURE, HAND MOVEMENT
- POSTURAL CONTROL IS THE MOST RECOMMENDED AND EASIEST

BESS TEST11

- BALANCE EVALUATION
- VALIDATED TO DETECT LARGE DIFFERENCES
 DUE TO CONCUSSION
- MAY NOT BE BEST WHEN NEARING END OF
 CONCUSSION TREATMENT TIMELINE AS NOT
 GREAT FOR SUBTLE DIFFERENCES
- GOOD RELIABILITY FOR STATIC BALANCE



IMPACT TEST¹²

- NEUROCOGNITIVE TEST
 - ONLINE DELIVERY
 - MEASURES:
 - SYMPTOMS CHECKLIST
 - ATTENTION SPAN
 - WORKING MEMORY
 - SUSTAINED AND SELECTIVE ATTENTION TIME
 - RESPONSE VARIABILITY
 - NON-VERBAL PROBLEM-SOLVING
 - REACTION TIME

- CONSIDERATIONS:
 - CULTURAL COMPETENCE
 - LANGUAGE

SPORT CONCUSSION ASSESSMENT TOOL-5¹³

COMPREHENSIVE BATTERY THAT INCLUDES:

- SYMPTOM EVALUATION
- COGNITIVE SCREENING
 - IMMEDIATE MEMORY
- CONCENTRATION
 - MONTHS IN REVERSE ORDER
 - NUMBERS BACKWARDS
- NEUROLOGICAL SCREEN
- BESS
- DELAYED RECALL
- CREATED BY THE CONCUSSION IN SPORT GROUP

SWAY¹⁴

- MOBILE DEVICE APPLICATION
- MAIN FOCUS IS BALANCE
- COGNITIVE
 - REACTION TIME
 - IMPULSE CONTROL
 - INSPECTION TIME
 - MEMORY
- SYMPTOM TRACKING
- IT IS A FDA CLASS II DEVICE
- NOT A STANDALONE DIAGNOSTIC TOOL

- BENEFITS
 - BASELINE COMPARATIVE MEASURE
 - QUICK
 - COST EFFECTIVE
 - EASY TO USE
- NEGATIVES
 - ALL PUBLISHED RESEARCH IS ON THE BALANCE COMPONENT
 - LACKS RESEARCH ON THE COGNITIVE COMPONENT

CONCUSSION TREATMENT

- TREAT THE SYMPTOMS
- REST
- ADDRESS THE VESTIBULAR/OCULAR SYMPTOMS

GAZE STABILIZATION





EYE EXERCISES - 5 Oculomotor: Smooth Pursuits

Holding a single target, keep eyes fixed on target. Slowly move it SIDE TO SIDE / UP-DOWN / DIAGONALLY while head stays still.







RETURN TO LIFE¹

- REST AT HOME
- EMOTIONALIMPACT
- PHYSICAL IMPACT
- MEDICATIONS
 - SLEEP AIDS OR ANXIETY IN SUB-ACUTE STAGE
- DIET
 - HYDRATION
 - BALANCED NUTRITION
 - NO ALCOHOL WHILE STILL EXPERIENCING SYMPTOMS

RETURN TO SCHOOL¹

- WHAT IS COGNITIVE REST
 - REDUCE BUT DON'T COMPLETELY REMOVE
 - STRICT BRAIN REST MAY HAVE DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS ON PATIENTS
- FIND BALANCE OF WORK WITH CONCUSSION SYMPTOMS

RESOURCES FOR RETURN TO LIFE AND LEARN FOR PATIENTS WITH LONG-TERM POST-CONCUSSIVE SYMPTOMS

- NEUROPSYCHOLOGISTS
 - COGNITIVE DECLINE
 - DECREASE IN ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE
 - EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES

- POTENTIAL EVALUATION COMPONENTS
 - INTELLIGENCE
 - FLUID REASONING
 - CRYSTALIZED KNOWLEDGE
 - VISUAL PROCESSING
 - AUDITORY PROCESSING
 - SHORT TERM MEMORY
 - LONG TERM MEMORY
 - PROCESSING SPEED
 - ATTENTION
 - SENSORY MOTOR

RETURN TO PLAY¹

Rehabilitation Stage	Functional Exercise	Objective
1. No activity	Complete physical and cognitive rest	Recovery
2. Light aerobic activity	Walking, swimming, stationary cycling. Mild intensity	Increase HR
3. Sport-specific activity	Running or skating drills. No head impact activities	Add movement
4. Non-contact training drills	Progression to more complex training drills	Exercise, coordination, cognitive load
5. Full contact practice	Following medical clearance. Normal training activities	Restore confidence, assessment of functional skills by coaching staff
6. Return to play	Normal game play	

SHOULD WE ALLOW EARLY EXERCISE (WITHIN THE FIRST 7 DAYS)????

- CURRENT RETURN TO PLAY STATES NO EXERCISE UNTIL SYMPTOMS RESOLVE, BUT IS THIS WHAT THE CURRENT LITERATURE STATES?
 - THERE HAS NOT BEEN AN UPDATE TO THE BEST PRACTICES BUT CURRENTLY LITERATURE STATES THAT EARLY EXERCISE MAY
 BE BENEFICIAL
 - LAWRENCE, RICHARDS, COMPER AND HUTCHISON 2018
 - EARLIER ACTIVITY LEADS TO A QUICKER RETURN TO PLAY AND RETURN TO WORK/SCHOOL
 - BUT CONCUSSION HISTORY, SYMPTOM SEVERITY, AND LOC HISTORY PLAYED A ROLE IN RETURN
 - BUCKLEY, MUNKASY, CLOUSE 2016
 - EARLY ACTIVITY (PHYSICAL AND COGNITIVE) BECAME ASYMPTOMATIC EARLIER
 - LIGHT ACTIVITY IS BEST
 - GROOL ET AL 2016
 - EARLY ACTIVITY REDUCED THE RISK OF PERSISTENT POST-CONCUSSIVE SYMPTOMS IN ADOLESCENTS

BUFFALO CONCUSSION TREADMILL TEST (BCTT)¹⁵

- ASSISTS WITH DETERMINING RECOVERY
- MEASURES AMOUNT OF AEROBIC EXERCISE THAT IS SAFE TO PERFORM
- HR AT SYMPTOM EXACERBATION IS THE HEART RATE THRESHOLD
- BIKE VERSION IS AVAILABLE AS WELL



 WHAT ARE SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS THAT DISTINGUISH BETWEEN A CONCUSSION YOU CAN TREAT CONSERVATIVELY AT HOME VERSUS ONE THAT MAY REQUIRE BRAIN IMAGING AND/OR MORE DILIGENT MONITORING OR NEURO CHECKS? DO YOU BELIEVE THERE ARE LEGAL IMPLICATIONS IN REGARDS TO TOO MUCH TESTING WITH PROGRAMS (I.E. IMPACT, SWAY, KING DEVICK AND ALLOW RTP EVEN THOUGH NOT 100% BACK TO BASELINE ON TESTING)? ALSO ANY ISSUES TO CONSIDER WITH SOME OF THESE COMMONLY USED PROGRAMS NOT BEING FDA APPROVED FOR CONCUSSIONS? RECOMMENDED SUPPLEMENTS TO HELP WITH CONCUSSION PREVENTION OR RECOVERY OVERALL OR FOR SPECIFIC SYMPTOMS? (FISH OIL, MAGNESIUM, B VITAMINS, ALA, VIT D ETC.) DIET? (HIGHER FAT)? OR THOUGHTS ON OTHER FRINGE TREATMENTS (HYPERBARIC, OZONE THERAPY)?

WORKS CITED

- 1. BROGLIO, SP, CANTU, RC, GIOIA, GA, GUSKIEWICZ, KM, KUTCHER, J, PALM M, VALOVICH MCLEOD TC. NATIONAL ATHLETIC TRAINERS' ASSOCIATION POSITION STATEMENT: MANAGEMENT OF SPORT CONCUSSION. J ATHL TRAIN. 2014; 49: 245-265.
- 2. RANDOLF, J, ET AL. CONCUSSION SYMPTOM INVENTORY: AN EMPIRICALLY-DERIVED SCALE FOR MONITORING RESOLUTION OF SYMPTOMS FOLLOWING SPORTS-RELATED CONCUSSION. ARCH CLIN NEUROPSCH. 2009;25:219-229.
- 3. GUSKIEWICS, KM, ET AL. NATIONAL ATHLETIC TRAINERS' ASSOCIATION POSITION STATEMENT: MANAGEMENT OF SPORT-RELATED CONCUSSION J ATHL TRAIN. 2004;39:280-297.
- 4. UITLUGT, G & INDENBAUM, S. CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF ATLANTOAXIAL INSTABILITY USING THE SHARP-PURSER TEST. ARTHRITIS AND RHEUMATISM. 1988;31:918-922.
- 5. HALKER, RB, ET AL. ESTABLISHING A DIAGNOSIS OF BENIGN PAROXYSMAL POSITIONAL VERTIGO THROUGH THE DIX-HALLPIKE AND SIDE-LYING MANEUVERS: A CRITICALLY APPRAISED TOPIC. NEUROLOGIST. 2008;14:201-204.
- 6. HUTTING, N, ET AL. DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY OF PREMANIPULATIVE VERTEBROBSILAR INSUFFICIENCY TESTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. MAN THER. 2013;3:177-182.
- 7. QUARTEY, J, ET AL. COMPARATIVE JOINT POSITION ERROR IN PATIENTS IN NON-SPECIFIC NECK DISORDERS AND ASYMPTOMATIC AGE-MATCHED INDIVIDUALS. S AFR J PHYSIOLOTHER. 2019;75:568-584.
- 8. KONOTOS, AP, ET AL. RELIABILITY AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS FOR PERFORMANCE ON THE VESTIBULAR/OCULAR MOTOR SCREENING (VOMS) TOOL IN HEALTHY COLLEGIATE ATHLETES. AM J SPORTS MED. 2016;44:1400-1406.
- 9. GALETTA, KM, ET AL. THE KING-DEVICK TEST OF RAPID NUMBER NAMING FOR CONCUSSION DETECTION: META-ANALYSIS AND SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE. CONCUSSION. 2015;1:1-15.
- 10. GALETTA, KM, ET AL. THE KING-DEVICK TEST AND SPORTS-RELATED CONCUSSION: STUDY OF A RAPID VISUAL SCREENING TOOL IN A COLLEGIATE COHORT. J NEURO SCI. 2011;309:34-39.
- 11. BELL, DR, ET AL. SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE BALANCE ERROR SCORING SYSTEM. SPORTS HEALTH: A MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH. 2011;3:287-295.
- 12. VOLBERDING, JL, ZINIEL, AK, O'BRIEN, MS, WARREN, AJ. EFFECT OF TESTING LANGUAGE ON IMPACT SCORES IN NON-NATIVE ENGLISH SPEAKERS. EC ORTHO. 2019;10:64-72
- 13. DAVIS, GA ET AL. SCAT5: SPORT CONCUSSION ASSESSMENT TOOL- 5TH EDITION. BR J SPORTS MED 2017;0:1-8.
- 14. DABBS, NC, SAULS, NM, ZAYER A, CHANDER H. BALANCE PERFORMANCE IN COLLEGIATE ATHLETES: A COMPARISON ON BLANCE ERROR SCORING SYSTEM MEASURES. J FUNCT MORPHOL KINESIOL. 2017;2:26-33.
- 15. HAIDER, MN, ET AL. THE PREDICTIVE CAPACITY OF THE BUFFALO CONCUSSION TREADMILL TEST AFTER SPORT—RELATED CONCUSSIONS IN ADOLESCENTS. FRONTIERS NEURO. 2019;10:395-402.